

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/662,550	COSATTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL F. HAJNIK	2628	

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL F. HAJNIK.

Status of Application: Final

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas Isaacson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 June 2010

Time: 2PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double Patenting

Claims discussed:

22-25, 29-32 and 36-43

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant to inform them that the terminal disclaimer filed was disapproved and asked that the assignment issue be corrected along with a new terminal disclaimer. However, after two plus weeks no progress has been indicated. Due to internal office workflow guidelines, the examiner must send out this final office in order to timely response to applicant's filed response of record .